Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,520	KABE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1791
All Participants:	Status of Application: finally rejected	
(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.	(3)	
(2) Kevin Bastuba.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 August 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: all		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Adrienne C. Johnstone/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants agreed to an examiner's amendment which, after entry of the after final amendment flied August 5, 2010, would place the application in condition for allowance (attached proposal faxed to applicants 24 August 2010) with the change that since claims 3 and 4 are method claims it should be "forming" changed to — completely forming —.